UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,282	12/15/2003	Hiroshi Nakahata	AA556C	4285
	7590 01/28/201 R & GAMBLE COMP	EXAMINER		
Global Legal Department - IP			HAND, MELANIE JO	
Sycamore Building - 4th Floor 299 East Sixth Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNATI,	ОН 45202		3761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/28/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/736,282	NAKAHATA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MELANIE J. HAND	3761	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 January 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which place application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Req for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:	es the
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N TWO  I fee on fee (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the da filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. S Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues fappeal; and/or	for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
<ul> <li>4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324</li> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelin non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entere because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provid showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	e a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance becaus <a href="See Continuation Sheet">See Continuation Sheet</a> .	e:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:	
/Melanie J Hand/	
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3761	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments traversing the outstanding claim rejections have been fully considered but are not persuasive. As to arguments regarding the claim rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, what is missing from applicant's disclosure is a clear connection between any of the structures of the extensibility controlling means (e.g. topsheet alone or with other components), any specific material used in the fabrication of the control means and the recited inhibition of extension of the chassis layer. The disclosure provides no guidance to one of ordinary skill in the art on where to begin when constructing the extensibility control means, i.e. what material to choose and whether to employ only the topsheet or the topsheet and additional components of the article. It is the examiner's position that, because more than one variable or structural feature is responsible for the recited property of inhibition of the chassis layer, one of ordinary skill in the art would not be able to make or use the invention according to the disclosure as originally filed.

As to arguments regarding the claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103, Nakahata discloses an elastic waist feature identical in structure, composition (I.e. it is an elastic material) and function to that disclosed by the applicant. When this is the case, while Nakahata does not disclose the recited inhibition of the chassis layer explicitly, one of ordinary skill in the art can reasonably expect that the waist feature either already possesses an ability to inhibit the chassis layer in the manner claimed and therefore the limitation with regard to inhibition of the chassis layer is unpatentable.

In light of the lack of persuasive arguments overcoming the outstanding claim rejections, the reply is not entered.